

Biological Forum – An International Journal

14(4a): 390-397(2022)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

# Comparative Efficacy of Evaporative Cooling Pad and Fogger System on Growth Performance of Broiler Chicken in Summer Season

Vipin Chandra<sup>1\*</sup>, Navav Singh<sup>1</sup>, Sanjita Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Abhishek Saini<sup>1</sup>, Sunil Kumar Meena<sup>2</sup>, Mukesh Kumar Gahan<sup>2</sup> and Ashok Baindha<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Livestock Production and Management, PGIVER, Jaipur (Rajasthan), India. <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, PGIVER, Jaipur (Rajasthan), India. <sup>3</sup>Department of Veterinary and A.H. Extension Education, PGIVER, Jaipur (Rajasthan), India.

> (Corresponding author: Vipin Chandra\*) (Received 10 September 2022, Accepted 15 November, 2022) (Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: High environmental temperature increases mortality of poultry due to inhibition of immune responses and the maintenance of production, reproduction and economy of the poultry farm requires modification of the surrounding environment, ventilation system, bird density, and nutritional management to reduce heat stress in poultry. This study used 108-days old, commercial broiler chicks (VenCobb strain). These birds were randomly divided into three treatment groups *i.e.*,  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ comprising of 60 birds in each treatment with a total of 180 birds. Each treatment was further divided into three replications with 20 birds in each. These chicks were kept in floor pens, and water and feed were provided *ad libitum* throughout the experimental period of 42 days. The control  $(T_0)$  had pen without a cooling device, while other treatment groups *i.e.*,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  were having an evaporative cooling pad and fogger systems, respectively. This study found highly significant effects of cooling devices on different growth parameters like body weight, body weight gain, and feed consumption whereas the feed conversion ratio was found non-significant during 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> weeks of age. The result of different growth parameters such as weekly body weight and body weight gain, weekly feed consumption, weekly feed conversion ratio (FCR), was found better in T<sub>1</sub> group as compared to T<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> groups. The result of shed microclimate indicates that ambient temperature and temperature humidity index (THI) in control  $(T_0)$ were highly significant (p 0.01) than  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  groups. From this study, it can be concluded that the evaporative cooling pad and fogger system ensures significant improvement in micro-climate of shed, and better growth performance of broilers.

Keywords: Broiler, Heat stress, Evaporative cooling pad, Fogger system.

# INTRODUCTION

Poultry industry has become an important economic activity in many countries to produce high-quality eggs and meat to balance the human diet. The poultry industry is one of the fastest-growing sectors of agriculture in India. Egg production and poultry meat production in India has increased by 8.5% and 7.8% respectively as compared to the previous year (BAHS, 2019). The efficiency of broilers to convert feed into meat plays a key role in the economics of broiler industry. Poultry is a rich source of high-quality food such as eggs, and meat as well as a source of income and employment for millions of people. The total population of poultry in India was 851.81 million (20<sup>th</sup> Livestock Census), which is 16.81% higher than 19<sup>th</sup> Livestock Census. Poultry seems to be extremely

sensitive to temperature-associated environmental challenges; especially heat stress, so, high environmental temperature and temperature humidity index values above the critical level led to decrease feed intake, lower body weight, and lower feed conversion efficiency (Sohail et al., 2012). The metabolic heat along with high ambient temperature reduces the body weight and feed intake in broiler birds by 23% and 15%, respectively (Yalcin et al., 1997). There is a decrease of 1.72% in feed intake for every degree celsius rise in ambient temperature from 18° to 32°C (Rama Rao et al., 2002). Heat stress adversely affects the efficiency of broiler production and their meat quality system. Heat stress results from a negative balance between the amount of heat energy produced by the animal and the net amount of energy flowing

Chandra et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 14(4a): 390-397(2022)

from the animal's body to its surrounding environment. Evaporative pads, fogger pads, and fogger nozzles can be used as a good alternative to control heat and its effects in broiler houses (Weaver, 2002). Therefore, in order to decrease the inner temperature of poultry house, evaporative cooling systems can used to mitigate heat stress and maintain the productivity of broiler birds.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted in semi-arid climate of Jaipur (Latitude - N 26°53'31", Longitude - E 75°52'17") for a period of 42 days at poultry farm of Post Graduate Institute of Veterinary Education and Research (PGIVER) Jamdoli, Jaipur.

#### A. Experimental chicks

This study was conducted on day old broiler chicks (Ven Cobb strain) procured from a commercial hatchery. The experiment was conducted 180 chicks for a period of 6 weeks from 29 May 2019 to 10 July 2019.

# B. Feed for experimental birds

Commercial broiler mash feed was procured from the local market and fed *ad*-libitum to the birds. The starter feed was fed upto the end of  $3^{rd}$  week and thereafter finisher feed was provided till the end of the experiment. The feed used in the present experiment was purchased from the market.

# C. Experimental design

Chicks were banded over the wing at the start of the experiment. The broiler chicks were randomly allotted to three treatment groups  $(T_0, T_1 \text{ and } T_2)$  with three replications having 20 chicks in each replication. The broiler chicks were vaccinated for Ranikhet disease ( $F_1$  strain) on 7<sup>th</sup> day and Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD) on 14<sup>th</sup> day.

Broilers were maintained under standard management practices such as brooding, feeding, watering, and health care throughout the trial period. The cooling systems were operated on start of  $3^{rd}$  week ( $15^{th}$  day) upto the end of  $6^{th}$  week (42 days) of age, as brooding of chicks was carried out upto the first 2-weeks.

# Table 1: Experimental design for different treatment groups.

Sr. No.	Treatment	atment Experimental pens -	No. of b	oroiler chicks/ rep	Total no. of broiler	
Sr. No.	groups		<b>R</b> <sub>1</sub>	$\mathbf{R}_2$	$\mathbf{R}_3$	chicks in each group
1.	T <sub>0</sub> (Control)	Pen without cooling device	20	20	20	60
2.	$T_1$	Pen with evaporative cooling pads	20	20	20	60
3.	$T_2$	Pen with foggers	20	20	20	60

### D. Experimental broiler shed

The experimental shed consisted of three pens each with 100 square feet area. Out of the total three (03) of such pens, two (02) were modified for the installation of different cooling systems while the one was without any cooling system and considered as control. Further, each pen was partitioned into three identical compartments each of 20 square feet area using wire nettings. One square feet floor space was provided to each bird in these compartments of the pens.

# E. Design of evaporative cooling pad system

The evaporative cooling pad system consisted of cellulose pads which were made up of cellulose paper hedges in aluminum casing with a water distributor through a Galvanized Iron header. The complicatedly woven cellulose pads were enough to provide required amount of water to fulfill maximum cooling of air encountering it. The evaporative cooling pads were placed at one end of the shed and exhaust fan of the opposite end. The water was pumped to the pads through a pump and the pads were kept wet.

### F. Fogger system

Fine foggers (2 in number) each having diameter of 0.2 mm and capable of producing mist with a pressure of 30 psi were installed at the height of 6 ft from the ground and were connected with a water tank through a

high-pressure pipe. The filtered water was pumped into the foggers. The foggers were set up for the controlled on/off-timing with the help of a timer. The cycle for on and off the foggers was 30 seconds and 60 seconds, respectively, and was repeated the whole day. When switched on, foggers generated a fine mist to cool the shed but the exhaust fan was running the whole day irrespective of foggers.

#### G. Performance Parameters

(a) Weekly body weight and body weight gain. These chicks were weighed individually at the start of the experiment and subsequently at weekly intervals up to 6 weeks of age. The weekly live weight gain was calculated from the difference in body weight attained between the two consecutive weeks.

(b) Weekly feed consumption. Feed consumption of each replicate was recorded weekly and average feed intake in gram/bird/week was calculated by dividing the total amount of feed consumed by the number of birds in the particular pen. The cumulative feed consumption for the experimental period was also recorded.

(c) Weekly feed conversion ratio (FCR). The feed conversion ratio was calculated by dividing the cumulative feed intake by the body weight gain of the chicks during the two consecutive periods.

Feed conversion ratio =  $\frac{\text{Total amount of feed consumed (g)}}{\text{Total body weight gain (g)}}$ 

(d) **Percent mortality.** Regular observations were made to record the occurrence of deaths in the experimental broilers to estimate the mortality rate in each experimental group, if any.

#### H. Microclimate parameters

(a) Ambient temperature and relative humidity. To assess variation in shed micro-climate dry and wet bulb temperatures were recorded three times a day (*i.e.*, 9:00 A.M., 12:00 P.M., and 3:00 P.M.) with the help of a dry and wet bulb thermometer. The data of dry and wet bulb temperature were used to evaluate ambient temperature and relative humidity of the shed.

(b) Temperature-Humidity Index (THI). The microclimate of the shed was assessed with the help of dry and wet bulb thermometers. These thermometers were placed in each broiler shed at around 0.5 feet above ground level. To assess the macroclimate outside the shed, thermometers were placed at around 6 feet above the ground level in the open to ensure no hindrance to wind. The data of dry and wet bulb temperature were used to evaluate the temperature-

humidity index (THI) and relative humidity of the shed. The temperature-humidity index (THI) in each group was calculated by using the formula advocated by Tao and Xin (2003).

THI = 0.85 Tdb + 0.15 TwbWhere, Tdb = dry bulb temperature

Twb = wet bulb temperature

### I. Statistical Analysis

The experimental data were subjected to statistical analysis using one way analysis of variance as described by Snedecor and Cochran (1994) to test for the significant variation between treatment groups. A comparison of mean values was carried out by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### A. Weekly average body weight of broilers

The findings of the effect of the evaporative cooling pad and fogger system on the average body weight of experimental broilers during their different weeks of age are presented in (Table 2).

Table 2: Mean	(±SE) body	weight (g)	of broilers at	different	weeks of age.
---------------	------------	------------	----------------	-----------	---------------

Treatmonta	Age (weeks)								
Treatments	0 day	Ι	II	III	IV	V	VI		
$T_0$	45.82±0.23	155.27±1.88	351.03±3.44	701.82b±8.31	1119.83b±8.31	1682.80b±27.43	2180.93c±34.97		
$T_1$	45.80±0.23	159.13±2.88	355.92±4.66	729.70a±10.30	1264.68a±10.30	1935.33a±29.91	2533.42a±40.34		
$T_2$	45.82±0.25	159.55±2.32	358.88±4.16	734.30a±8.21	1239.27a±8.21	1865.92a±25.78	2370.00b±32.33		
Significance level	NS	NS	NS	*	**	**	**		

\*\*= Highly Significant (p<0.01), \* =Significant (p<0.05), NS =non-significant

Note: Values having different superscripts in the same group vary significantly from one another

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data revealed a significant effect of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  treatment group on body weight at  $3^{rd}$  (p<0.05)  $4^{th}$ ,  $5^{th}$  and  $6^{th}$  weeks (p<0.01) of age of experimental broilers. Whereas the effect on body weight was non-significant at  $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$  weeks of age.

The post hoc DMRT analysis of data revealed that the mean weekly body weight of broilers in all the three experimental groups increased with an increase in their age, but the difference between the mean body weight of broilers of the three experimental groups could not be observed to be significant during 0 days and 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> week of age. Whereas a significantly higher mean body weight of broilers of T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> groups during 3<sup>rd</sup> (p<0.05), 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> (p<0.01) weeks of age were observed as compared to broilers of T<sub>0</sub> group. No significant differences were observed between the mean body weight of broilers of T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> groups during the same age. The mean body weight of broilers at the 6<sup>th</sup>

week of age was observed to be significantly (p<0.01) higher for  $T_1$  group followed by  $T_2$  and  $T_0$  group. The lower average body weight of chicks in  $T_0$  group could be attributed to be due to higher level of heat stress indicated by the high ambient temperature and temperature humidity index of the shed in  $T_0$  group.

These findings agreed with the results of Gupta *et al.* (2013) in which they revealed that the adverse effect of the elevated temperature on the growth rate of broiler chicks. The birds in the treatment groups performed better as compared to the control group that was exposed to higher temperature and lower relative humidity conditions in the shed.

# B. Weekly average body weight gain of broilers

The observation on the effect of the evaporative cooling pad and fogger system on average body weight gain of broilers during their different weeks of age is in Table 3.

Table 3: Mean (±SE) body weight gain (g) of broilers at different weeks of age.

Treatments		Cumulativa					
Treatments	Ι	П	III	IV	V	VI	Cumulative
$T_0$	109.45±1.53	195.76±2.69	$350.79^{b} \pm 5.64$	418.02 <sup>b</sup> ±10.83	562.97 <sup>b</sup> ±14.16	498.13 <sup>b</sup> ±16.56	2135.11°±35.35
$T_1$	$113.33 \pm 1.82$	196.79±3.28	$373.78^{a}\pm 6.99$	534.98 <sup>a</sup> ±13.50	670.65 <sup>a</sup> ±15.17	$598.08^{a} \pm 21.49$	2487.62 <sup>a</sup> ±39.80
$T_2$	113.73±1.76	199.33±2.71	$375.42^{a}\pm 5.13$	$504.97^{a} \pm 10.95$	626.65 <sup>a</sup> ±17.82	$504.08^{b} \pm 14.59$	2324.18 <sup>b</sup> ±32.40
Significance level	NS	NS	**	**	**	**	**

\*\* Highly Significant (p<0.01), NS =non-significant

Note: Values having different superscripts in the same group vary significantly from one another

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data revealed a significant (p<0.01) effect of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  treatment group on average body weight gain of experimental broilers during  $3^{rd}$ ,  $4^{th}$ ,  $5^{th}$  and  $6^{th}$  week of age while no significant difference was observed during  $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$  week of age.

The post hoc DMRT analysis of data revealed that average body weight gain of broilers at the end of  $3^{rd}$ ,  $4^{th}$  and  $5^{th}$  week of age under both  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  groups were significantly (p<0.01) higher than  $T_0$  group. However, the average body weight gain of broilers of the  $T_1$  group was significantly (p<0.01) different than those of  $T_2$  and  $T_0$  group at  $6^{th}$  week of age, but no significant difference was observed between  $T_2$  and  $T_0$ . The average cumulative body weight gain of broilers of all the three groups reflected a significant (p<0.01) difference from one another and the highest body weight gain was observed for broilers of in  $T_1$  group (2487.62gm) followed by  $T_2$  (2324.18gm) and  $T_0$ (2135.11gm) groups. The lower average body weight gain of chicks in the control group was probably because of lower feed consumption due to the heat stress indicated by the high ambient temperature and temperature humidity index of the control group  $(T_0)$  shed.

These findings are like the findings of Quinteiro-Filho *et al.* (2010) who reported that heat stress decreases body weight gain as well as feed intake and the feed conversion ratio in broiler chickens. They revealed the adverse effect of the elevated temperature on the growth rate of broiler chicks. The birds in the treatment group performed better as compared to the control group due to the more feed consumption associated with the lower heat stress in the shed.

C. Weekly average feed consumption of broilers

The observations on average feed consumption by broilers during their different age (weeks) are in Table 4.

Table 4: Mean (±SE) feed consumption (g) of broilers at different weeks of age.

Treatmonts		Cumulativa					
Treatments	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	Cumulative
T <sub>0</sub>	137.48±0.21	297.33±0.92	$493.80^{b} \pm 1.68$	$627.92^{b} \pm 10.61$	963.65 <sup>b</sup> ±12.94	$998.77^{b} \pm 18.41$	3518.90 <sup>c</sup> ±40.51
T <sub>1</sub>	137.57±0.73	297.43±0.19	508.93 <sup>a</sup> ±1.84	756.13 <sup>a</sup> ±8.28	1074.47 <sup>a</sup> ±9.35	1121.22 <sup>a</sup> ±22.16	3895.75 <sup>a</sup> ±28.31
T <sub>2</sub>	137.30±0.15	297.53±0.45	504.13 <sup>a</sup> ±3.58	723.48 <sup>a</sup> ±15.04	$1046.97^{a}\pm4.60$	1060.10 <sup>ab</sup> ±12.63	3769.52 <sup>b</sup> ±14.86
Sig. level	NS	NS	**	**	**	**	**

\*\* Highly Significant (p<0.01), NS = non-significant

Note: Values having different superscripts in the same group vary significantly from one another

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data revealed a significant (p<0.01) effect of cooling system on average feed consumption of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  group at  $3^{rd}$ ,  $4^{th}$ ,  $5^{th}$  and  $6^{th}$  week of age and no significant effect at  $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$  week of age.

The observations on feed consumption showed that feed consumption in all treatment groups increased with the increase in age of broiler chicks. The post hoc DMRT analysis of data revealed that average feed consumption of broilers at the end of  $3^{rd}$ ,  $4^{th}$  and  $5^{th}$  week was significantly higher in both  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  than  $T_0$  group. Moreover, feed consumption during  $6^{th}$  week of the age was significantly (p<0.01) higher in  $T_1$  group than  $T_0$  and  $T_2$  group, but no significant difference was observed between  $T_0$  and  $T_2$  groups. Cumulative feed consumption of broiler showed the highest feed consumption (3895.75gm) in  $T_1$  group higher than  $T_2$ 

group i.e. (3769.52gm) feed consumption while the control group had lowest average feed consumption of (3518.90 g), average feed consumption, among treatment groups  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_0$  differ significantly to each other. Lower average feed consumption in  $T_0$  group might be due to the heat stress indicated by the high ambient temperature and temperature humidity index of the shed.

These findings are similar to the results by Al-Fataftah and Abu-Dieyeh (2007), who studied broiler performance under chronic heat stress and concluded that broiler chicks exposed to high temperature had, a significantly (p<0.01) lower feed consumption. The birds in the treatment ( $T_1$  and  $T_2$ ) groups performed better as compared to the control ( $T_0$ ) group due to more feed consumption associated with lesser heat stress in the shed. These findings are similar to findings

Chandra et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 14(4a): 390-397(2022)

of Tabiri *et al.* (2002); Quinteiro-Filho *et al.* (2010), who reported a decrease in feed intake with an increase in environmental temperature above the thermo-neutral zone of broiler ( $25^{\circ}$ C).

*D. Weekly average feed conversion ratio of broilers* The findings on average feed conversion ratio of broilers during different ages (weeks) are presented in Table 5.

Treatments	Age in weeks						
Treatments	Ι	П	III	IV	V	VI	Cumulative
T <sub>0</sub>	$1.25 \pm 0.005$	$1.51 \pm 0.002$	$1.41 \pm 0.010$	1.50±0.031	1.71±0.039	2.01±0.101	$1.64\pm0.04$
T1	1.21±0.002	$1.51 \pm 0.001$	$1.36 \pm 0.029$	1.41±0.016	1.60±0.031	$1.88 \pm 0.028$	$1.57 \pm 0.00$
T <sub>2</sub>	$1.20\pm0.008$	1.49±0.023	$1.34 \pm 0.025$	1.43±0.037	1.67±0.034	2.10±0.160	$1.62\pm0.03$
Significance level	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 5: Mean (±SE) feed conversion ratio of broilers at different weeks of age.

NS=non-significant

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data revealed that the average feed conversion ratio of broilers from  $1^{st}$  to  $6^{th}$  week of age did not differ significantly (p>0.05) among different experimental groups.

The post hoc DMRT analysis of data revealed that the average cumulative feed conversion ratio of broilers of  $T_1$ ,  $T_0$  and  $T_2$  groups was (1.57), (1.64) and (1.62) respectively, and there is no significant difference. These findings are similar to the results of Sharma and Gangwar (1985), who studied the efficiency of cooling methods for broiler production in hot weather and reported a significantly higher growth rate and no significant effect on feed conversion and mortality.

The average feed conversion ratio of broilers was not found to be significant and it might be due to the reason that the birds consumed low feed in  $T_0$  group due to the heat stress, hence weight gain was also low. Whereas in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  groups birds consumed more feed due to the better environment in the shed by evaporative cooling pad and fogger system, so the weight gain was higher.

# E. Percent mortality

During the experimental period no mortality was observed among different treatment groups.

# MICROCLIMATE PARAMETERS

A. Average ambient temperature (°C) of broiler shed The observations on the ambient temperature of sheds under different treatments are in Table 6.

Table 6: Average weekl	y ambient temperature (°C) of broiler shed.

Age of broilers	Treatment Crearing	Time				
(Weeks)	Treatment Groups	9:00A.M.	12:00P.M.	3:00P.M.		
	Macroclimate	39.00±0.38	41.28±0.36	44.14±0.30		
Γ	T <sub>0</sub>	36.33 <sup>a</sup> ±0.19	38.37 <sup>a</sup> ±0.38	41.71 <sup>a</sup> ±0.28		
3 <sup>rd</sup>	$T_1$	28.23°±0.15	27.68 <sup>c</sup> ±0.14	27.57 <sup>c</sup> ±0.37		
	$\mathbf{T}_2$	31.14 <sup>b</sup> ±0.34	32.71 <sup>b</sup> ±0.47	34.00 <sup>b</sup> ±0.31		
	Macroclimate	38.00±0.79	40.86±1.05	43.57±1.11		
	T <sub>0</sub>	35.28 <sup>a</sup> ±0.61	38.93 <sup>a</sup> ±0.69	40.50 <sup>a</sup> ±0.63		
4 <sup>th</sup>	$T_1$	27.86°±0.14	27.52 <sup>c</sup> ±0.13	28.57 <sup>c</sup> ±0.20		
	$T_2$	31.28 <sup>b</sup> ±0.28	33.21 <sup>b</sup> ±0.26	35.00 <sup>b</sup> ±0.31		
	Macroclimate	33.14±1.43	33.71±1.30	34.64±1.36		
Γ	T <sub>0</sub>	30.86 <sup>a</sup> ±0.88	31.92 <sup>a</sup> ±0.91	32.78 <sup>a</sup> ±0.96		
5 <sup>th</sup>	$T_1$	27.07 <sup>b</sup> ±0.32	27.00 <sup>b</sup> ±0.31	28.28 <sup>b</sup> ±0.15		
	$\mathbf{T}_2$	29.43 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.75	29.71 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.68	30.28 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.64		
	Macroclimate	38.78±0.75	41.64±0.53	43.93±0.50		
	T <sub>0</sub>	35.36 <sup>a</sup> ±0.40	38.36 <sup>a</sup> ±0.53	39.00 <sup>a</sup> ±0.69		
6 <sup>th</sup>	$T_1$	28.00°±0.01	28.28 <sup>c</sup> ±0.15	28.07 <sup>c</sup> ±0.71		
	$\overline{\mathbf{T}}_2$	30.28 <sup>b</sup> ±0.28	32.43 <sup>b</sup> ±0.20	34.57 <sup>b</sup> ±0.20		
Sig. level		**	**	**		

\*\*Values having different superscripts in the same column and same group vary significantly from one another (p<0.01)

These observations indicate that at the different recording times, outer environmental temperature and ambient temperature in different sheds gradually increased from 9:00 A.M. to 3:00 P.M. and the highest ambient temperature was recorded at 3:00 P.M. and lowest at 9:00 A.M. among  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  groups in different sheds.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data revealed a significant (p<0.01) effect of the evaporative cooling

pad and fogger system at 9:00 A.M., 12:00 P.M. and 3:00 P.M. during  $3^{rd}$ ,  $4^{th}$ ,  $5^{th}$  and  $6^{th}$  weeks of age of broilers.

The post hoc DMRT analysis of data revealed that the highest temperature recorded at 3:00 P.M. was significantly (p<0.01) lower in both the  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  treatment groups compared to  $T_0$ , during different (3-6) weeks of the experiment. During  $3^{rd}$ ,  $4^{th}$  and  $6^{th}$  weeks the ambient shed temperature of  $T_1$  group was

significantly lower than  $T_2$  and  $T_0$  group but during 5<sup>th</sup> week ambient shed temperature of  $T_1$  group was found to be significantly lower (p<0.01) than  $T_0$  at different duration of time. Thus, the results of the present study revealed that the cooling pad and fogger system are effective in reducing the shed ambient temperature. Moreover, the reduction of ambient temperatures in sheds provided with an evaporative cooling pad ( $T_1$ ) was observed (Table 6) to be significantly (p<0.01) higher than in sheds provided with fogger system ( $T_2$ ) during different age groups of broilers. These findings of the efficacy of both the cooling systems to modify microclimate in terms of reduction of ambient shed temperature were similar to the result of Sharma and

Gangwar (1985), who also reported a reduction of shed temperature by 5°C with the installation of foggers. Similarly, Xin *et al.* (1994) reported that fan pad evaporative cooling results in a decrease in shed temperature by 7-8°C. Similarly, Dagtekin *et al.* (2009) reported that the evaporative cooling system can be used effectively to reduce the temperature by 9°C, which in turn may prevent the negative effect of heat stress on the efficiency of feed consumption and mortality.

*B. Average relative humidity (%) of broiler shed* The findings of the percent relative humidity of sheds in different cooling treatment groups are in Table 7.

Age of broilers		Time				
(Weeks)	Treatment Groups	9:00 A.M.	12:00 P.M.	3:00 P.M.		
	Macroclimate	36.28±0.68	37.00±0.62	36.43±0.48		
	$T_0$	40.57 <sup>c</sup> ±0.57	42.28°±0.84	41.71°±0.97		
3 <sup>rd</sup>	T <sub>1</sub>	58.21 <sup>a</sup> ±1.26	59.43 <sup>a</sup> ±2.44	56.07 <sup>a</sup> ±0.87		
	$T_2$	43.42 <sup>b</sup> ±0.89	$47.14^{b}\pm0.99$	45.00 <sup>b</sup> ±1.13		
	Macroclimate	45.00±2.77	41.50±1.50	44.14±2.60		
	$T_0$	47.78°±2.24	46.07°±2.14	46.07 <sup>c</sup> ±2.05		
4 <sup>th</sup>	$T_1$	72.28 <sup>a</sup> ±2.25	$67.71^{a} \pm 1.78$	72.35 <sup>a</sup> ±1.44		
	$T_2$	53.71 <sup>bc</sup> ±3.45	57.14 <sup>b</sup> ±2.55	56.43 <sup>b</sup> ±2.57		
	Macroclimate	59.43±2.18	57.00±2.26	52.57±3.64		
	$T_0$	61.57 <sup>c</sup> ±1.87	59.57 <sup>b</sup> ±2.32	55.57 <sup>b</sup> ±3.51		
5 <sup>th</sup>	$T_1$	76.28 <sup>a</sup> ±0.71	67.86 <sup>a</sup> ±1.45	72.00 <sup>a</sup> ±0.95		
	$T_2$	67.28 <sup>b</sup> ±1.60	62.71 <sup>ab</sup> ±1.39	66.57 <sup>a</sup> ±0.65		
	Macroclimate	52.43±0.89	45.86±1.10	44.85±0.96		
	$T_0$	55.14 <sup>c</sup> ±0.96	48.00°±1.02	47.14 <sup>c</sup> ±1.52		
$6^{\text{th}}$	$\overline{T_1}$	71.00 <sup>a</sup> ±0.53	$71.57^{a} \pm 1.63$	71.14 <sup>a</sup> ±0.63		
	$T_2$	65.14 <sup>b</sup> ±0.70	64.43 <sup>b</sup> ±0.75	66.00 <sup>b</sup> ±1.05		
Sig. Level		**	**	**		

 Table 7: Average weekly relative humidity (%) of broiler shed.

\*\*Values having different superscripts in the same column and the same group vary significantly from one another (p<0.01).

During experimental period relative humidity of sheds in  $T_0$  varied from 40.57 to 61.57 per cent, in  $T_1$  group from 56.07 to 76.28 per cent and in  $T_2$ group from 43.42 to 67.28 per cent.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data revealed a significant (p<0.01) effect of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ groups at 9:00 A.M., 12:00 P.M. and 3:00 P.M. during  $3^{rd}$ ,  $4^{th}$ ,  $5^{th}$  and  $6^{th}$  weeks of age of broilers.

The post hoc DMRT analysis of data revealed that percent relative humidity was significantly (p<0.01) higher in both the sheds provided with T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>as compared to T<sub>0</sub> group at separate times of recording during entire experimental period. Whereas at 9:00 A.M. of 4<sup>th</sup> week, and 12:00 P.M. and 3:00 P.M. of 5<sup>th</sup> week, no significant difference were observed in relative humidity of all treatment groups. These observations indicate that both T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>modified the microclimate through increased relative humidity (%) to facilitate evaporative cooling in the shed.

*C.* Average temperature humidity index (THI) of broiler shed

The observations on the temperature humidity index (THI) of shed are in Table 8.

These observations indicate that during different weeks of experiment THI gradually increased from 9:00A.M. to 3:00 P.M. During experimental period maximum temperature humidity index (THI) of sheds at 9:00 A.M. to 3:00 P.M. in control ( $T_0$ ) varied from 30.07 to 33.80, in evaporative cooling pad ( $T_1$ ) from 26.71 to 27.85 and in foggers ( $T_2$ ) treatment group from 28.74 to 30.90.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data revealed a significant (p<0.01) effect of cooling system on temperature-humidity index of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  group at 9:00 A.M., 12:00 P.M. and 3:00 P.M. during  $3^{rd}$ ,  $4^{th}$ ,  $5^{th}$  and  $6^{th}$  week.

The post hoc DMRT analysis of data revealed that temperature humidity index (THI) was significantly (p<0.01) lower in both  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  in comparison to  $T_0$ group. Moreover, THI in sheds with  $T_1$  was also significantly (p<0.01) lower than  $T_2$  at separate times of recording during entire experimental period. During 5<sup>th</sup> week at 9:00 A.M. and 3:00 P.M. THI for  $T_0$  and  $T_2$ group did not differ significantly from each other but THI for  $T_1$  group was significantly (p<0.01) lower than  $T_0$  and  $T_2$  group, whereas, at 12:00 P.M. and at 3:00

Chandra et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 14(4a): 390-397(2022)

P.M. THI for T<sub>2</sub> group did not differ significantly (p<0.01) with  $T_1 \mbox{ and } T_0 \mbox{ group but a significant}$ (p<0.01) difference between THI of  $T_1$  and  $T_0$  group was observed.

Lower THI values  $inT_1$  and  $T_2$  than  $T_0$  could be due to lower ambient temperature, higher rate of evaporative cooling, indicated by a higher relative humidity. These results of THI indicate that both T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> efficiently modified the microclimate of broiler shed through evaporative cooling to reduce heat stress. These results of efficiency of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  to modify microclimate in terms of reduction of ambient shed temperature agreed with Sharma and Gangwar (1985) who also reported a reduction of shed temperature by 6.5°C and 5°C with installation of desert cooler and foggers, respectively. Similarly, Dagtekin et al. (2009) reported the evaporative cooling system can be used effectively to reduce the temperature by 9°C. The THI value of this study are similar to the value reported by Darwesh (2015).

Age in	Treatment Crowns	Time				
weeks	Treatment Groups	9:00 A.M.	12:00 P.M.	3:00 P.M.		
	Macroclimate	38.94±0.16	39.05±0.50	39.71±0.44		
	T <sub>0</sub>	33.31 <sup>a</sup> ±0.31	33.34 <sup>a</sup> ±0.48	33.67a±0.39		
3 <sup>rd</sup>	$T_1$	27.67°±0.29	27.07 <sup>c</sup> ±0.15	26.97°±0.45		
	$T_2$	30.69 <sup>b</sup> ±0.23	30.30 <sup>b</sup> ±0.75	30.56 <sup>b</sup> ±0.48		
	Macroclimate	35.56±1.16	36.32±1.56	36.95±1.63		
	$T_0$	31.94 <sup>a</sup> ±0.86	32.49 <sup>a</sup> ±0.93	32.95 <sup>a</sup> ±1.47		
$4^{\text{th}}$	$T_1$	27.30°±0.24	27.11 <sup>c</sup> ±0.18	27.14 <sup>c</sup> ±0.39		
	$T_2$	30.21 <sup>b</sup> ±0.41	30.21 <sup>b</sup> ±0.30	30.90 <sup>b</sup> ±0.40		
	Macroclimate	32.23±1.95	32.62±2.08	33.42±2.18		
	$T_0$	30.07 <sup>a</sup> ±1.16	30.95 <sup>a</sup> ±1.43	31.69 <sup>a</sup> ±1.50		
5 <sup>th</sup>	$T_1$	26.72 <sup>b</sup> ±0.34	26.72 <sup>b</sup> ±0.47	26.71 <sup>b</sup> ±0.53		
	$T_2$	28.74 <sup>a</sup> ±0.62	$28.94^{ab} \pm 1.07$	$29.52^{ab} \pm 1.05$		
	Macroclimate	35.84±1.30	36.44±0.96	37.58±0.94		
	$T_0$	32.44 <sup>a</sup> ±0.73	33.29 <sup>a</sup> ±0.93	33.80 <sup>a</sup> ±1.13		
6 <sup>th</sup>	T1	27.65 <sup>c</sup> ±0.44	27.85 <sup>c</sup> ±0.45	27.70 <sup>c</sup> ±0.39		
	$T_2$	30.55 <sup>b</sup> ±0.44	30.67 <sup>b</sup> ±0.26	30.81 <sup>b</sup> ±0.41		
Sig. Level		**	**	**		

\*\*Values having different superscripts in the same column and the same group vary significantly from one another (p<0.01)

# CONCLUSION

Heat stress is one of the most challenging environmental conditions which have an adverse effect on the poultry industry. Broiler chickens are sensitive to heat stress, high ambient temperature, and relative humidity and these are major environmental stressors that influence the performance of broilers while the ideal environmental temperature enhanced the production. The evaporative cooling pad system and fogger system provide comfortable microenvironment conditions to broilers leads to enhanced feeding efficiency and which in turn improved growth performance of broilers. Since poultry industry is rapidly growing in Rajasthan, the farmers are suggested to use these devices during the peak summer months to sustain the industry.

## **FUTURE SCOPE**

Similar study can be conducted in different agro climatic zones along with inclusion of coolers, thatched roof, sprinklers, fan, etc. as different cooling devices for the modification of microclimate and reduction of heat stress in poultry.

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to the authorities of Post Graduate Institute of Veterinary Education and Research, Jaipur (Rajasthan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Bikaner) for providing the resources to

conduct this research study and also the reviewers whose suggestions and comments greatly helped to improve and clarify this manuscript.

Conflict of Interest. None.

# REFERENCES

- A1-FataftahA, R. A. and Abu-Dieyeh, Z. H. M.(2007). Effect of chronic heat stress on broiler performance in Jordan. International Journal of Poultry Science, 6(1), 64-70.
- Basic Animal Husbandry Statistics (2019). Govt. of India. Ministry of Agriculture department of animal husbandry, dairying and fisheries. New Delhi.
- Dagtekin, M., Karaca, C. and Yildiz, Y. (2009). Long axis heat distribution in a tunnel-ventilated broiler house equipped with an evaporative pad cooling system. Animal Production Science, 49(12), 1125-1131.
- Darwesh, M. R. (2015). Effect of evaporative cooling system on microclimatic circumstances of commercial closed laying-hens house under delta-zone climatic conditions. Misr. J. Ag. Eng, 32(2), 885-908.
- Duncan, D. B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F test. Biometrics, 11, 1-42.
- Gupta, R., Kaur, D., Chopra, S., Nagra, S. S., Rai, D. R. and Patil, R. T. (2013). Performance analysis of the broiler chicks under different cooling devices during hot dry summer, Indian Journal of Animal Research, 48(5), 480-485.
- Livestock Census (2019). 20th Livestock Census. Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi: Government of India, Ministry

Chandra et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 14(4a): 390-397(2022)

of Agriculture, Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying.

- Quinteiro-Filho, W. M., Ribeiro, A., Ferraz, V., Pinheiro, M. L., Sakai, M., Ferreira, A. J. P. and Palermo-Nato, J. (2010). Heat stress impairs performance parameters, induces intestinal injury, and decreases macrophage activity in broiler chickens. *Poultry Science*, 89, 1905-1914.
- Rama Rao, S., Nagalakshi, V. and Reddy, V. R. (2002). Feeding to minimize heat stress. *Poultry International*, 41, 3033-3038.
- Sharma, M. L. and Gangwar, P. C. (1985). Efficiency of cooling methods for broiler production in hot weather. *Indian Journal of Poultry Science*, 20, 245-248.
- Snedecor, G. W. and Cochran, W. G. (1994). Statistical Methods. 8th Edition, Iowa State University Press, Ames, IOWA, USA, 304-307.
- Sohail, M. U., Hume, M. E., Byrd, J. A., Nisbet, D. J., Ijaz, A., Sohail, A., Shabbir, M. Z. and Rehman, H. (2012). Effect of supplementation of prebiotic mannanoligosaccharides and probiotic mixture on growth

performance of broilers subjected to chronic heat stress. *Poultry Science*, *91*, 2235–2240.

- Tabiri, Y. H., Sato, K., Takahashi, K., Toyomizu, M. and Akiba, Y. (2002). Effect of heat stress and dietary tryptophan on performance and plasma amino acid concentrations of broiler chickens. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 15(2), 247-253.
- Tao, X. and Xin, H. (2003). Temperature humidity index for market size broilers. *Thesociety for engineering in* agricultural, food and biological system, 34-37.
- Weaver, W. D. (2002). Fundamentals of ventilation. In: Commercial Chicken Meat and Egg Production. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwell, 5, 97-99.
- Xin, H., Berry, I. L., Tabler, G. H. and Barton, I. L. (1994). Temperature and humidity profiles of broiler houses with experimental conventional and tunnel ventilation systems. *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*, 10(4), 535-542.
- Yalcin, S., Testik, A., Ozkan, S., Celen, F. and Cahaner, A. (1997). Performance of naked neck and normal broilers in hot, warm and temperature climates. *Poultry Science*, 76, 930-937.

**How to cite this article:** Vipin Chandra, Navav Singh, Sanjita Sharma, Abhishek Saini, Sunil Kumar Meena, Mukesh Kumar Gahan and Ashok Baindha (2022). Comparative Efficacy of Evaporative Cooling Pad and Fogger System on Growth Performance of Broiler Chicken in Summer Season. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *14*(4a): 390-397.